SCHUR TRIANGULARIZATION AND THE SPECTRAL DECOMPOSITION(S)

This week we will learn about:

- Schur triangularization,
- The Cayley–Hamilton theorem,
- Normal matrices, and
- The real and complex spectral decompositions.

Extra reading and watching:

- Section 2.1 in the textbook
- Lecture videos 25, 26, 27, 28, and 29 on YouTube
- Schur decomposition at Wikipedia
- Normal matrix at Wikipedia
- Spectral theorem at Wikipedia

Extra textbook problems:

- * 2.1.1, 2.1.2, 2.1.5
- $\star\star$ 2.1.3, 2.1.4, 2.1.6, 2.1.7, 2.1.9, 2.1.17, 2.1.19
- $\star\star\star$ 2.1.8, 2.1.11, 2.1.12, 2.1.18, 2.1.21
 - **2.** 2.1.22, 2.1.26

We're now going to start looking at matrix decompositions , which are ways of writing down a matrix as a product of (hopefully simpler!) matrices. For example, we learned about diagonalization at the end of introductory linear algebra, which said that
While diagonalization let us do great things with certain matrices, it also raises some new questions:
Over the next few weeks, we will thoroughly investigate these types of questions, starting with this one:

Schur Triangularization

We know that we cannot hope in general to get a diagonal matrix via unitary similarity (since not every matrix is diagonalizable via *any* similarity). However, the following theorem says that we can get partway there and always get an upper triangular matrix.

Theorem 7.1 — Schur Triangularization

Suppose $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then there exists a unitary matrix $U \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and an upper triangular matrix $T \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that

<i>Proof.</i> We prove the result notice that the result is triv		we simply

		_
s make so	me notes about Schur triangularizations before proceeding	
E1 1.		
	al entries of T are the eigenvalues of A . To see why, recall the same thing and the same framework in the same framework.	
alues of a nd	triangular matrix are its diagonal entries (theorem from pre-	vious course)
11Q		
ho other r	pieces of Schur triangularization are	
ne otner k	neces of Schur triangularization are	
	e a Schur decomposition, follow the method given in the proc	of the theo
	e a Schur decomposition, follow the method given in the proc	of of the theo
	e a Schur decomposition, follow the method given in the proc	of of the theo-
	e a Schur decomposition, follow the method given in the proc	of the theo-
	e a Schur decomposition, follow the method given in the proc	of of the theo-
To compute rem:	e a Schur decomposition, follow the method given in the proc	of the theo-
	e a Schur decomposition, follow the method given in the proc	of of the theo

The beauty of Schur triangularization is that it applies to *every* square matrix (unlike diagonalization), which makes it very useful when trying to prove theorems. For example...

Theorem 7.2 — Trace and Determinant in Terms of Eigenvalues

Suppose $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ has eigenvalues $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$. Then

-	Use Schur Then	triangularizati	on to write	$A = UTU^*$	with U	unitary a	$\operatorname{nd} T$ uppe	er trian

As another application of Schur triangularization, we prove an important result called the Cayley–Hamilton theorem, which says that every matrix satisfies its own characteristic polynomial.

Theorem 7.3 — Cayley–Hamilton

Suppose $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ has characteristic polynomial $p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I)$. Then p(A) = O.

For example			

Proof of Theore says that we can	m 7.3. Because we n factor the charac	e are working ov eteristic polyno	ver C, the Funda mial as a produ	mental Theorem ct of linear terms	of Algebra s:
Well, let's Schur	r triangularize A :				

Normal Matrices and the Spectral Decomposition

We now start looking at when Schur triangularization actually results in a diagonal matrix, rather than just an upper triangular one. We first need to introduce another new family of matrices:

Definition 7.1 — Normal Matrix

A matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is called **normal** if $A^*A = AA^*$.

For	Many of the important families of matrices that we are already familiar with are nor example	mal.

However, there are also other matrices that are normal:

Example. Show that the matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is normal.

Our primary interest in normal matrices comes from the following theorem, which says that normal matrices are exactly those that can be diagonalized by a unitary matrix:

Theorem 7.4 — Complex Spectral Decomposition

Suppose $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then there exists a unitary matrix $U \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ and diagonal matrix $D \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ such that

if and only if A is normal (i.e., $A^*A = AA^*$).

In other words, normal matrices are the ones with a diagonal Schur triangularization. Proof. To see the "only if" direction, we just compute	
,	

While we proved the spectral decomposition via Schur triangularization, that is not how it is computed in practice. Instead, we notice that the spectral decomposition is a special case of diagonalization where the invertible matrix that does the diagonalization is unitary, so we compute it via eigenvalues and eigenvectors (like we did for diagonalization last semester). Just be careful to choose the eigenvectors to have length 1 and be mutually orthogonal.

Example.	Find a spect	ral decompos	ition of the	matrix		

Example. Find a spectral decomposition of the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 2 \\ 2 & 1 & 2 \\ 2 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Sometimes, we can just "eyeball" an orthonormal set of eigenvectors, but if we can't, we can instead apply the Gram–Schmidt process to any basis of the eigenspace.

The Real Spectral Decomposition

In the previous example, the spectral decomposition ended up making use only of real matrices. We now note that this happened because the original matrix was symmetric:

Theorem 7.5 — Real Spectral Decomposition

Suppose $A \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$. Then there exists a unitary matrix $U \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ and diagonal matrix $D \in \mathcal{M}_n(\mathbb{R})$ such that

if and only if A is symmetric (i.e., $A^T = A$).

To give you a rough idea of why this is true, we note that every Hermitian (and thus every symmetric) matrix has real eigenvalues:

It follows that if A is Hermitian then we can choose the "D" piece of the spectral decomposition to be real. Also, it should not be too surprising, that if A is real and Hermitian (i.e., symmetric) that we can choose the "U" piece to be real as well.

We thus get the following 3 types of spectral decompositions for different types of matrices:

Geometrically, exactly those that	the real spectral act as follows:	decomposition	says t	that real	symmetric	matrices	are