ELEMENTARY MATRICES AND INVERSES

This week we will learn about:

- Elementary matrices,
- The inverse of a matrix, and
- How awesome inverses are.

Extra reading and watching:

- Section 2.2 in the textbook
- Lecture videos 22, 23, 24, and 25 on YouTube
- Elementary matrix at Wikipedia
- Invertible matrix at Wikipedia

Extra textbook problems:

- \star 2.2.1, 2.2.2
- $\star\star$ 2.2.4–2.2.6, 2.2.8, 2.2.9, 2.2.13, 2.2.15, 2.2.20
- $\star\star\star$ 2.2.7, 2.2.10, 2.2.11, 2.2.21, 2.2.22
 - **2** 2.2.23

Elementary Matrices

Last week, we learned how to solve systems of linear equations by repeatedly applying one of three row operations to the augmented matrix associated with that linear system. Remarkably, all three of those row operations can be carried out by matrix multiplication (on the left) by carefully-chosen matrices.

For example, if we wanted to swap the first and second rows of the matrix		
we could multiply it on the left by the matrix		
Similarly, to perform the row operations we could multiply on the left by the matrices	and	

Matrices that implement one of these three row operations in this way have a name:

Definition 6.1 — Elementary Matrices

A square matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ is called an **elementary matrix** if it can be obtained from the identity matrix via a single row operation.

For example, the elementary matrix corresponding to the "Swap" row operation $R_i \leftrightarrow R_j$ looks like
Similarly, the elementary matrices corresponding to the "Addition" row operation $R_i + cR_j$ and the "Multiplication" row operation cR_i look like
Notice that if the elementary matrices E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_k are used to row reduce a matrix A to its reduced row echelon form R , then
In particular, E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_k act as a log that keeps track of which row operations should be performs to put A into RREF. Furthermore, if we define $E = E_k \cdots E_2 E_1$, then $R = EA$, so E acts as a condensed version of that log. Let's now do an example to see how to construct this matrix E .
Example. Let $A =$
Find a matrix E such that $EA = R$, where R is the RREF of A .

The fact that the method of the previous example works in general can be seen by combining some block matrix multiplication trickery with the fact that multiplication on the left by an elementary matrix is equivalent to performing the corresponding row operation. In particular, if row reducing $[A \mid I]$ to some other matrix $[R \mid E]$ makes use of the row operations corresponding to elementary matrices E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_k , then

This means (by looking at the right half of the above block matrix) that $E = E_k \cdots E_2 E_1$, which then implies (by looking at the left half of the block matrix) that R = EA. We state this observation as a theorem:

Theorem 6.1 — Row Reduction is Multiplication on the Left

If the block matrix $[A \mid I]$ can be row reduced to $[R \mid E]$ then...

This theorem says that, not only is performing a single row operation equivalent to multiplication on the left by an elementary matrix, but performing a *sequence* of row operations is also equivalent to multiplication on the left (by some potentially non-elementary matrix).

The Inverse of a Matrix

When working with (non-zero) real numbers, we have an operation called "division," which acts as an inverse of multiplication. In particular, a(1/a) = 1 for all $a \neq 0$. It turns out that we can (usually) do something very similar for matrix multiplication:

Definition 6.2 — Inverse of a Matrix

If A is a square matrix, the **inverse** of A, denoted by A^{-1} , is a matrix (of the same size as A) with the property that

If such a matrix A^{-1} exists, then A is called **invertible**.

Inverses (when they exist) are unique (i.e., every matrix has at most one inverse). To see this...

Example. Show that
$$\begin{bmatrix} 3 & -5 \\ -1 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
 is the inverse of $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 5 \\ 1 & 3 \end{bmatrix}$.

So if we are given a particular pair of matrices, it is easy to check whether or not they are inverses of each other. But how could we *find* the inverse of a matrix in the first place? We'll see how soon!

As always, let's think about what properties our new mathematical operation (matrix inversion) has.

Theorem 6.2 — Properties of Matrix Inverses

Let A and B be invertible matrices of the same size, and let c be a non-zero real number. Then

- a) A^{-1} is invertible and $(A^{-1})^{-1} = A$
- **b)** cA is invertible and $(cA)^{-1} = \frac{1}{c}A^{-1}$
- c) A^T is invertible and $(A^T)^{-1} = (A^{-1})^T$
- d) AB is invertible and $(AB)^{-1} = B^{-1}A^{-1}$

Proof. Most parts of this theorem are intuitive enough, so we just prove part (d) (you can prove parts (a), (b) and (c) on your own: they're similar). To this end...

The fact 1	that $(AB)^{-1} =$	$B^{-1}A^{-1}$ (as	opposed to	the incorrect	$(AB)^{-1} =$
$A^{-1}B^{-1}$) is ac	etually intuitive	enough: you	put on your	socks before	your shoes,
but when reve	ersing that opera	tion, you tak	e off your sho	es before you	r socks.

Not every matrix is invertible. For example,
However, there are even more exotic examples of non-invertible matrices. For example, recall that if \mathbf{u} is a unit vector then the matrix $A = \mathbf{u}\mathbf{u}^T$
In order to come up with a general method for determining whether or not a matrix is invertible (and constructing its inverse if it exists), we first notice that if A has reduced row echelon form equal to I , then Theorem 6.1 tells us that

It thus seems like A being invertible is closely related to whether or not it can be row reduced to the identity matrix. The following theorem shows that this is indeed the case (along with a whole lot more):

Theorem 6.3 — Characterization of Invertible Matrices

Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$. The following are equivalent:

- a) A is invertible.
- b) The reduced row echelon form of A is I (the identity matrix).
- c) There exist elementary matrices E_1, E_2, \ldots, E_k such that $A = E_1 E_2 \cdots E_k$.
- **d)** The linear system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ has a solution for all $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- e) The linear system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ has a unique solution for all $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- f) The linear system $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$ has a unique solution.

Example. Determine whether or not the matrix $A =$	$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$	is invertible.
---	--	--	----------------

We won't rigorously prove the above theorem, but we'll try to give a rough idea for why some of its equivalences hold. First, notice that every elementary matrix is invertible: Since the product of invertible matrices is still invertible, it follows that any matrix of the form $A = E_1 E_2 \cdots E_k$ (where E_1, E_2, \dots, E_k are elementary) is invertible, which shows why (c) \Longrightarrow (a).

The connection between invertibility and linear systems can be clarified by noting that if A is invertible, then we can rearrange the linear system

Thus (a) \implies (e), which implies each of (d) and (f).

When we combine our previous two theorems, we get a method for not only determining whether or not a matrix is invertible, but also for computing its inverse if it exists:

Theorem 6.4 — How to Compute Inverses

A matrix $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ is invertible if and only if the RREF of $[A \mid I]$ has the form $[I \mid B]$ for some $B \in \mathcal{M}_n$. If the RREF has this form then $A^{-1} = B$.

Example. Determine whether or not the matrix $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$ is invertible, and find its inverse if it exists.

Example. Solve the linear system x + 2y = 3, 3x + 4y = 5.

Example. Find the inverse of $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & -1 \\ 2 & 2 & 4 \\ 1 & 3 & -3 \end{bmatrix}$ if it exists.

Example. Find the inverse of $\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & -4 \\ -4 & -1 & 6 \\ -2 & 2 & -2 \end{bmatrix}$ if it exists.

Using our characterization of invertible matrices, we can prove all sorts of nice properties of them. For example, even though the definition of invertibility required that both $AA^{-1} = I$ and $A^{-1}A = I$, the following theorem shows that it is enough to just multiply on the left or the right: you don't need to check both.

Theorem 6.5 — One-Sided Matrix Inverses

Let $A \in \mathcal{M}_n$ be a square matrix. If $B \in \mathcal{M}_n$ is a matrix such that either AB = I or BA = I, then A is invertible and $A^{-1} = B$.

Proof. Suppose BA = I, and consider the equation $A\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{0}$.

This completes the proof of the BA = I case. Try to prove the case when AB = I on your own.

Similarly, we can even come up with an explicit formula for the inverse of matrices in certain small cases. For example, for 2×2 matrices, we have the following formula:

Theorem 6.6 — Inverse of a 2×2 Matrix

Suppose A is the 2×2 matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}.$$

Then A is invertible if and only if $ad - bc \neq 0$, and if it is invertible then

$$A^{-1} = \frac{1}{ad - bc} \begin{bmatrix} d & -b \\ -c & a \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof. If $ad - bc \neq 0$ then we can show that the inverse of A is as claimed just by multiplying it by A:

On the other hand, if $ad - bc = 0$ then $ad = bc$.					
Example ces:	. Compute th	ae inverse (o	r show that 1	none exists) o	f the following matr

Keep in mind that you can always use the general method of computing inverses (row reduce $[A \mid I]$ to $[I \mid A^{-1}]$) if you forget this formula for the 2×2 case.